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## Notation

- $R$ : commutative ring with identity
- $R^{\#}$ : set of nonzero nonunits
- $\operatorname{Prin}(R)$ : set of proper principal ideals
- $Z(R)$ : set of zero divisors


## Main Goal

How do certain factorization properties of a commutative ring $R$ behave under the polynomial extension $R[X]$ ?

## Extension of Factorization Properties to $D[X]$



| Property | UFD | HFD | FFD | idf-domain | BFD | ACCP | atomic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R$ | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| $R[X]$ | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no |

## Extenstion of Factorization Properties to $R[X]$



| Property | UFR | HFR | FFR | WFFR | idf | BFR | ACCP | atomic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R$ | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| $R[X]$ | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |

## Definition

$R$ is a unique factorization ring (UFR) if $R$ is atomic and every $a \in R^{\#}$ can be factored uniquely into the product of atoms up to order and associates

Theorem
Let $R$ be an integral domain. Then $R$ is a UFD $\Longleftrightarrow R[X]$ is a UFD

Question: When is $R[X]$ a UFR where $R$ is an arbitrary commutative ring with zero divisors?

## Irreducibles in a Domain

## Definitions

- $a \in D^{\#}$ is irreducible if $a=b c \Longrightarrow b \in U(R)$ or $c \in U(R)$
- $a, b \in D^{\#}$ are associated, $a \sim b$, if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, i.e.
$(a)=(b)$

Theorem (The following are equivalent:)

1. a is irreducible
2. $a=b c \Longrightarrow a \sim b$ or $a \sim c$
3. (a) is maximal in $\operatorname{Prin}(D)$

Note: If $a \in D$ be irreducible, then $a$ is irreducible in $D[X]$

## Examples

- $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is irreducible, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is irreducible
- $a=f g$ in $D[X]$ implies $f, g \in D$

If $a \in R$ be irreducible, then $a$ is not necessarily irreducible in $R[X]$

- 0 is irreducible in $K$, but ( 0 ) is not maximal in $K[X]$, so 0 is not irreducible in $K[X]$

Our notion of irreducible in a domain is too strong in a commutative ring with zero divisors!

## Irreducibles in Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors

## Types of Associate Relations

| associated | $a \sim b$ if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, i.e. $(a)=(b)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| strongly associated | $a \approx b$ if $a=u b$ for some $u \in U(R)$ |
| very strongly associated | $a \cong b$ if (1) $a \sim b$ and (2) $a=b=0$ <br> or $a \neq 0$ and $a=r b \Longrightarrow r \in U(R)$ |

## Types of Irreducible Elements

| irreducible | $a=b c \Longrightarrow a \sim b$ or $a \sim c$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| strongly irreducible | $a=b c \Longrightarrow a \approx b$ or $a \approx c$ |
| very strongly irreducible | $a=b c \Longrightarrow a \cong b$ or $a \cong c$ |
| $m$-irreducible | $(a)$ is maximal in $\operatorname{Prin}(R)$ |

very strongly associated $\Longrightarrow$ strongly associated $\Longrightarrow$ associated
v.s. irreducible $\Longrightarrow$ m-irreducible $\Longrightarrow$ s. irreducible $\Longrightarrow$ irreducible
p-atomic

v.s. atomic $\Longrightarrow$ m-atomic $\Longrightarrow$ s. atomic $\Longrightarrow$ atomic

## Types of UFRs

1. $(\alpha, \beta)-U F R$
2. Bouvier-Galovich UFR
3. Fletcher UFR
4. Reduced UFR
5. Weak UFR

## Properties of $X$

1. $X$ is irreducible $\Longleftrightarrow R$ is indecomposable
2. If $X$ is the finite product of $n$ atoms, then $R$ is isomorphic to the finite direct product of $n$ indecomposable rings
3. If $X$ is the finite product of atoms, then the factorization of $X$ is unique

## Example

$\ln \mathbb{Z}_{6}[X], \quad X=(3 X+2)(2 X+3)=6 X^{2}+13 X+6=X$.
So, $\mathbb{Z}_{6}[X] \cong R_{1}[X] \times R_{2}[X]$ by (2).

Note: $\mathbb{Z}_{6}[X] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}[X] \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}[X]$ and $3 X+2$ and $2 X+3$ are atoms since $3 X+2 \mapsto(2, X)$ and $2 X+3 \mapsto(2 X, 1)$

## $(\alpha, \beta)$-UFRs

## Definition

Let $\alpha \in\{$ atomic, strongly atomic, very strongly atomic, $m$-atomic, $p$-atomic $\}$ and $\beta \in\{$ isomorphic, strongly isomorphic, very strongly isomorphic $\}$.

Then $R$ is a $(\alpha, \beta)$-unique factorization ring if:

1. $R$ is $\alpha$
2. any two factorizations of $a \in R^{\#}$ into atoms of the type to define $\alpha$ are $\beta$

Note: For any choice of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ except $\alpha=p$-atomic, $R$ is présimplifiable.

- $R$ is a unique factorization ring if $R$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$-UFR for some $(\alpha, \beta)$ except $\alpha=p$-atomic.


## Bouvier-Galovich UFRs

| Bouvier UFR | Galovich UFR |
| :--- | :--- |
| - m-irreducible | • very strongly irreducible |
| - associate | • strongly associate |
| - ( $m$-atomic, isomorphic)-UFR | (very strongly atomic, |
|  | strongly isomorphic)-UFR |

## Theorem

$R$ is a $B-G$ UFR if $R$ satisfies one of the following:

1. $R$ is a UFD
2. $(R, M)$ is quasi-local where $M^{2}=0$
3. $R$ is a special principal ideal ring (SPIR)

Theorem
$R[X]$ is a $B-G U F R \Longleftrightarrow R[X]$ is a UFD

## Bouvier-Galovich UFRs

Theorem
$R[X]$ is a $B-G U F R \Longleftrightarrow R[X]$ is a UFD
Proof Sketch.
$\rightarrow$ Let $a, b \in R$ such $a b=0$ so that $a$ and $b$ are nonzero
$\rightarrow X, X-a$, and $X-b$ are irreducible since $R$ is
indecomposable
$\rightarrow$ We have $(X-a)(X-b)=X^{2}-(a+b) X+a b$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X^{2}-(a+b) X \\
& =X(X-(a+b))
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ A contradiction, so $R$ is a domain and $R[X]$ is a UFD

## Reduced UFRs

## Reduced Factorizations

| reduced | $a \neq a_{1} \cdots \hat{a_{i}} \cdots a_{n}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| strongly reduced | $a \neq a_{1} \cdots \hat{i_{1}} \cdots \hat{i_{j}} \cdots a_{n}$ for any nonempty <br> proper subset $\left\{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{j}\right\} \subsetneq\{1, \ldots, n\}$. |

## Example

$(1,0)=(2,0)\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)(2,0)\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$ in $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ is reduced but NOT strongly reduced

## Definition

$R$ is a strongly reduced (respectively reduced) UFR if:

1. $R$ is atomic
2. if $a=a_{1} \cdots a_{n}=b_{1} \cdots b_{m}$ are two strongly reduced (respectively reduced) factorizations of a nonunit $a \in R$, then $n=m$ and after a reordering $a_{i} \sim b_{i}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

## Reduced UFRs

Theorem (The following are equivalent:)

1. $R[X]$ strongly reduced UFR
2. $R[X]$ reduced UFR
3. $R$ is a UFD or a finite direct product of domains $D_{1} \times \cdots \times D_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$ and each $D_{i}$ is a UFD (possibly a field) with group of units $U\left(D_{i}\right)=\{1\}$

## Characterizations of other UFRs

## Theorem (The following are equivalent:)

1. $R[X]$ is a Fletcher UFR,
2. $R[X]$ is p-atomic,
3. $R$ is a finite direct product of UFDs,
4. $R[X]$ is factorial, and
5. every regular element of $R[X]$ is a product of principal primes

Theorem (The following are equivalent:)

1. $R[X]$ is a weak UFR
2. every $f \in R[X]^{\#}$ is a product of weakly primes
3. $R[X]$ is atomic and each atom is weakly prime
4. $R$ is the finite direct product of UFDs

## Main Result

Theorem (Anderson, Edmonds 2018)
$R[X]$ is a UFR if and only if $R$ is a UFD or isomorphic to the finite direct product of UFDs.

