## \$ 1-5 PRIME IDEALS IN POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Theorem 36: Let R be an integral domain with quotient field K, and let X be an indeterminate. Then we have the following correspondence:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{prime ideals in R[X]} \\ \text{that contract to DinR} \end{array}\right\} \iff \left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{prime ideals in K[X]} \\ \end{array}\right\}$$

<u>proof</u>: Let 5 be the set of nonzero elements in R. Then,  $R_s = \left\{ \frac{c}{5} \right\} = K$   $R_s = \left\{ \frac{c}{5} \right\} = K$ 

where K is the quotient field of R. Also note that,

$$R[X]_s = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid g \in R[X] \text{ with } g \neq 0 \right\} = K[X].$$

We know there is a one-to-one correspondence between prime ideals in KCX] and primes in RCX] disjoint from S, that is, prime ideals in RCX] that intersect D, that is prime ideals that contract to 0 in R.  $\Box$ 

Remark: We can say some things about prime ideals in KIXJ and RIXJ.

primes in K[K]

4 infinitely many maximal ideals corresponding to irreducible polynomials over K

## primes in R[X]

- to PREXICREXI prime
- PR[X] and infinitely many prime ideals sitting above PR[X] contract to P

Note: By Theorem 37 there cannot be a chain of three distinct prime ideals with the same contraction in R.

det: Let  $P = P_0 \supset P_1 \supset \cdots \supset P_n$  be a chain of distinct prime ideals descending from P. This is a chain of length  $\pi$ . We say P has rank  $\pi$ if there exists a chain of length  $\pi$  descending from P, but no longer chain. If there exist arbitrarily long chains descending from P we say P has rank  $\infty$ .

<u>Remark</u>: the rank of a prime P is also called the height or altitude

Note: A minimal prime has rank 0. In an integral domain since 0 is prime rank 1 primes are called minimal.

<u>Theorem 38</u>: Let P be a prime ideal of rank n in R. In the polynomial ring REXJ, write P\*= PREXJ, and let Q be a prime ideal that contracts to P in R and contains P\* properly. Then,

- (a)  $n \leq rank(P^*) \leq 2n$ , and
- (6)  $n+1 \leq \operatorname{rank}(Q) \leq 2n+1$ .

*puop*: Let P=P<sub>0</sub>⊃P<sub>1</sub>⊃···⊃P<sub>n</sub> be a chain of primes descending-from P. Consider the expansion of each Pi to REXJ, i.e.  $P_i^* = P_i R[X]$ . Then we get the chain Q⊃P<sub>1</sub>\*⊃P<sub>1</sub>\*⊃···P<sub>n</sub>\*. Then rank(P\*)≥n and rank(Q)≥n+1. Now consider a chain descending from P\*= P<sub>0</sub>\*⊃P<sub>1</sub>'⊃····P<sub>k</sub>. There cannot exist a chain of three distinct prime ideals in REXJ contracting to the same prime ideal P' in R. Only P\* contracts to P, and the others contract at most two to one to primes in R. Thus, rank(P\*)≤2n and similarly the rank(Q) ≤ 2n+1. □

## EXERCISES

(1) Let Q be prime ideal in REXJ, contracting to P in R. Prove that Q is a G-ideal iff P is a G-ideal and Q properly contains PREXJ.

## tools

<u>def</u>: Let R be an integral domain with quotient field K. Then R is a G-domain if TFAE: (1) K is a finitely generated ring over R (2) as a ring, K can be generated over R by one element

<u>def</u>: a prime ideal P in a commutative ring R is called a G-ideal if R/P is a G-domain

Theorem 19: Let R be a domain with quotient field K. For D=22ER TPAE: (1) Any nonzero prime ideal contains 22 (2) Any nonzero ideal contains a power of 22 any G-domain contains such (3) K= R[22<sup>-1</sup>]

Theorem 21: If R is an integral domain and X is an indeterminate over R, then R[X] is never a G-domain.

Theorem 27: An ideal I in a ring R is a G-ideal if it is the contraction of a maximal ideal in the polynomial ring R[X].

proof: ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose Q is a G-ideal. Then by Theorem 27, Q is the contraction of a maximal ideal in (R[X])[Y], Call it M. Thus MNR[X]=Q. Since QNR=P, we have MNR=(MNR[X])NR = QNR=P. So P is the contraction of a maximal ideal and thus is a G-ideal.

Now note that  $P \subseteq Q \Rightarrow PR[X] \subseteq Q$ . Towards a contradiction, suppose PR[X] = Q. Then,  $R[X]/Q = R[X]/PR[X] \cong (R/P)[X]$  implies that (R/P)[X] is a G-domain, a contradiction, since a polynomial ring over an integral domain is never a G-domain. It follows that  $PR[X] \subseteq Q$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose that P is a G-ideal and Q properly contains PREXJ. We want to show Q is a Gideal. Since P is a G-ideal, R/P is a G-domain, so there exists a  $\overline{u} \in R/P$  so that  $u \in P'$  for every prime ideal P' of R such that  $P \subsetneq P'$ . Let  $Q' \subseteq REXJ$  be prime such that  $Q \lneq Q'$ . Then we have  $PREXJ \lneq Q \lneq Q'$  is a chain of three distinct prime ideals. Note that  $PREXJ \cap R = P$  and  $Q \cap R = P$ , so the contraction of Q' to R must properly contain P. Then  $u \in Q' \cap R$ , so  $u \in Q'$ . Note that  $u \notin Q$ , since  $u \in Q \Rightarrow u \in Q \cap R = P$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\exists u \in REXJ$  with  $u \notin Q$  but  $u \in Q' \circ Preuer prime$  $ideal Q' containing P. Restated, we can find <math>\overline{u} \in REXJ/Q$  so that u is contained in every prime in REXJ that properly contains Q. so REXJ/Qis a G-domain and it follows that Q is a G-ideal. [] 2) Let Q be a G-ideal in  $R[X_1, ..., X_n]$  contracting to P in R. Prove: rank(Q)  $\ge n + rank(P)$ .

**proof**: We proceed by induction. For the base case let  $Q \leq REX_{i}$ ] be a G-ideal with  $Q \cap R = P$ . Then by the previous exercise, P is a G-ideal and  $Q \neq PREX_{i}$ . Then by Theorem 38, we have rank $(Q) \geq 1 + rank(P)$ . Now assume that if  $Q \leq REX_{i}, ..., X_{n-i}$ ] is a G-ideal contracting to P in R, then rank $(Q) \geq n-1 + rank(P)$ . Let  $R' = REX_{i}, ..., X_{n-i}$  and let  $Q \leq REX_{i}, ..., X_{n} = R'EX_{n}$  be a G-ideal contracting to  $P_{n}$  in R. Consider  $Q \cap R' = P'$ . since Q is a G-ideal, by the previous exercise P' is a G-ideal' and P'R'EX\_{n} = Q. since  $Q \cap R = P_{i}$  then  $P' \cap R = P_{n}$ . By induction hypothesis, rank $(P') \geq n-1 + rank(P)$  and by the base case rank $(Q) \geq 1 + rank(P')$ .

$$rank(Q) \ge |+rank(P')$$
$$\ge |+ n-1+rank(P)$$
$$\ge n+rank(P).$$